

FISCAL NOTE

Bill #: SB0368

Title: Clarify permissible funding sources
for highway patrol

**Primary
Sponsor:** Bob DePratu

Status: As introduced, amended

Sponsor signature	Date	Dave Lewis, Budget Director	Date
-------------------	------	-----------------------------	------

Fiscal Summary

	<u>FY2000 Difference</u>	<u>FY2001 Difference</u>
Expenditures:		
General Fund	\$0	\$3,779,000
State Special Revenue	0	(3,779,000)
Revenue:	\$0	\$0
Net Impact on General Fund Balance:	\$0	(\$3,779,000)

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	
	X	Significant Local Gov. Impact		X	Technical Concerns
	X	Included in the Executive Budget	X		Significant Long-Term Impacts

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

1. The amendments to SB0368 specify the four-year phasing in of the funding change as follows (% denotes general fund portion): FY2000 – 0%; FY2001 – 25%; FY2002 – 50%; FY2003 – 75%; and FY2004 – 100%. Highway Patrol expenditures are based on the 2001 biennium Executive Budget.
3. FY 2001 general fund expenditures for the Highway Patrol will be \$3,779,000 (\$15,116,000 x 25%).

FISCAL IMPACT:

	<u>FY2000</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY2001</u> <u>Difference</u>
<u>Funding:</u>		
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$3,779,000
State Special Revenue (02)	0	(3,779,000)
<u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Expenditure):</u>		
General Fund (01)	0	(\$3,779,000)
State Special Revenue (02)	0	3,779,000

LONG-RANGE IMPACTS:

Based upon the FY 2001 level of funding, the increase in expenditures to the general fund (and corresponding decrease to the Transportation state special revenue account) would be:

FY 2002 = \$ 7,558,000

FY 2003 = \$11,337,000

FY 2004 = \$15,116,000